

"Duane Thresher, Ph.D." <dr.duane.thresher@alum.mit.edu>

October 31, 2022 3:59 PM

To: "Alan Dershowitz" <dersh@law.harvard.edu>, sadkisson@gibsondunn.com, stephanie.barclay@nd.edu, cgoodnow@kelloggghansen.com, mschulman@gibsondunn.com, mstorslee@wc.com

Cc: James Taranto <james.taranto@wsj.com>

You have a right to know who leaked Dobbs draft

3 Attachments, 1.2 MB

Mr. Dershowitz, Mr. Adkisson (Roberts law clerk), Ms. Barclay (Gorsuch law clerk), Mr. Goodnow (Thomas law clerk), Mr. Schulman (Barrett law clerk), and Mr. Storslee (Gorsuch law clerk):

You have a right to know who leaked the Dobbs draft, particularly since it was almost certainly the hacker who hacked the federal judiciary's CM/ECF (Case Management/Electronic Case Files) document handling system back before Jan 2021, when the federal judiciary, instead of unhacking CM/ECF, just directed that a few highly sensitive documents (HSD) be submitted and kept on paper.

For the SCOTUS law clerks, including all future ones, this unsolved case is a stain on their reputations/careers. I note that of the 36 law clerks during the leak, you five are the only ones brave enough to make it known where you are now and how you can be contacted. Being a SCOTUS law clerk is normally an accomplishment to be bragged about, particularly by the law firms lucky enough to hire them.


I've explained all about this in my article Roe v. Wade Was Hacked (attached), which references my articles Hackers Own The Federal Legal System (attached) and Federal Judiciary Reacts To Hackers (attached).

I would love to be part of a real investigation into this hacking, to be sure it was done right.

Dr. Duane Thresher
B.S., Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, MIT
Ph.D., supercomputing, Columbia University and NASA
CEO, Apscitu Inc.



Dragging the law into the IT Age.



Roe v. Wade Was Hacked — Justice Is Blind And IT Incompetent October 31, 2022

Sometime between February 2022, when Supreme Court Justice Samuel Alito circulated among the justices a draft majority opinion in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization* that overturned *Roe v. Wade*, and early May 2022, when *Politico* illegally published it, someone somehow stole this document. Following the surprise document publication, unprepared-for widespread domestic strife ensued, including an assassination attempt on



Supreme Court Justice Brett Kavanaugh.

Chief Justice John Roberts directed the Marshal of the United States Supreme Court, Gail Curley, to investigate and find those responsible. (Even if it were not [incompetent and corrupt](#), the [FBI](#) is not allowed to investigate; the Supreme Court has its own police, with the Marshal as head.) To date, after almost 9 months, a full-term pregnancy, those responsible for the theft have still not been found.

The assumption among almost everyone, all [IT incompetent](#), particularly the judiciary and the [media](#), is that the document theft was (improbably) by one of the Supreme Court law clerks (who would have known their budding legal career would be over, or worse, if discovered, among the small group of law clerks).

Not a mention of hackers.

And yet, as I explained in March 2021 in [Hackers Own The Federal Legal System](#) and [Federal Judiciary Reacts To Hackers](#), all court documents these days are electronic (if paper is submitted it is just immediately scanned) and in January 2021 the federal judiciary admitted that its document handling system, CM/ECF (Case Management/Electronic Case Files), which even the Supreme Court uses, had been hacked.

The goal of most hackers is to arrange for [permanent and undetectable access](#) to a system, for future access; for the Supreme Court, like when another landmark case is about to be decided. The federal judiciary apparently did nothing to unhack CM/ECF and just directed those using it to submit [highly sensitive documents \(HSD\)](#), a very small subset that would not have included the *Roe v. Wade* document, on paper, to be kept on paper, which can easily be physically secured.

In brief then, hackers probably stole the *Roe v. Wade* document.

The Supreme Court Marshal, Gail Curley, (as well as the Supreme Court Police) is not responsible for CM/ECF cybersecurity, which is good since Curley has [no IT education so is IT incompetent](#). As a police investigator though, Curley should have immediately suspected hackers and got an [IT expert like myself](#) ("Dragging the law into the IT Age") to investigate. Since she did not, she is an incompetent investigator. (She didn't do much about preventing assassination attempts on the Supreme Court justices either, for which she is responsible.)

Who is responsible for CM/ECF cybersecurity? As I explained in [Federal Judiciary Reacts To Hackers](#), the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (AO), appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, is responsible. In this case then, Roslynn Mauskopf, who was appointed by Chief Justice Roberts, is responsible. In [Federal Judiciary Reacts To Hackers](#), I warned that Mauskopf has [no IT education so is IT incompetent](#) and she would let something like this happen.

There are now a majority of originalist-minded justices on the Supreme Court, so more landmark-overturning decisions can be expected, as can more thefts of draft majority opinions ... and more unprepared-for widespread domestic strife ... and more unprepared-for assassination attempts on Supreme Court Justices.

Scary. Happy Halloween.



[Federal Judi...pdf \(457 KB\)](#)



[Hackers Ow...pdf \(445 KB\)](#)